



---

Year: 2015

---

## **Adverse Effects of Plant Food Supplements and Botanical Preparations: A Systematic Review with Critical Evaluation of Causality**

Di Lorenzo, Chiara ; Ceschi, Alessandro ; Kupferschmidt, Hugo ; Luede, Saskia ; De Souza Nascimento, Elizabeth ; Dos Santos, Ariana ; Colombo, Francesca ; Frigerio, Gianfranco ; Nørby, Karin ; Plumb, Jenny ; Finglas, Paul ; Restani, Patrizia

**Abstract:** Aims: The object of this review was to collect available data on 1) adverse effects observed in humans from the intake of plant food supplements (PFS) or botanical preparations; 2) the misidentification of poisonous plants; 3) interactions between PFS/botanicals and conventional drugs or nutrients. Methods: PubMed/MEDLINE and Embase were searched from database inception to June 2014, using the terms “adverse effect/s”, “poisoning/s”, “plant food supplement/s”, “misidentification/s”, and “interaction/s” in combination with the relevant plant name. All papers were critically evaluated according to the WHO Guidelines for causality assessment. Results: Data were obtained for 66 plants that are common ingredients of PFS; of the 488 papers selected, 398 (81.6%) dealt with adverse effects directly associated with the botanical and 89 (18.2%) concerned interactions with conventional drugs. Only 1 case was associated with misidentification. Adverse effects were reported for 39 out of the 66 botanical substances searched. Of the total references, 86.5% were associated with 14 plants, including Glycine max/soybean (19.3%), Glycyrrhiza glabra/licorice (12.5%), Ginkgo biloba/ginkgo and Camellia sinensis/green tea (both 8.6%). Conclusions: Considering the length of time examined and the number of plants included in the review, it is remarkable that: 1) the adverse effects due to botanical ingredients were relatively infrequent, if assessed for causality; 2) the number of severe clinical reactions was very limited, but some fatal cases have been described. Data presented in this review were assessed for quality in order to make the results maximally useful for clinicians in identifying or excluding deleterious effects of botanicals.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/bcp.12519>

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-98948>

Journal Article

Accepted Version

Originally published at:

Di Lorenzo, Chiara; Ceschi, Alessandro; Kupferschmidt, Hugo; Luede, Saskia; De Souza Nascimento, Elizabeth; Dos Santos, Ariana; Colombo, Francesca; Frigerio, Gianfranco; Nørby, Karin; Plumb, Jenny; Finglas, Paul; Restani, Patrizia (2015). Adverse Effects of Plant Food Supplements and Botanical Preparations: A Systematic Review with Critical Evaluation of Causality. *British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, 79(4):578-592.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/bcp.12519>

**ADVERSE EFFECTS OF PLANT FOOD SUPPLEMENTS AND BOTANICAL PREPARATIONS: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW WITH CRITICAL EVALUATION OF CAUSALITY**

Chiara Di Lorenzo<sup>1</sup>, Alessandro Ceschi<sup>2,3</sup>, Hugo Kupferschmidt<sup>2</sup>, Saskia Lüde<sup>2</sup>, Elizabeth De Souza Nascimento<sup>4</sup>, Ariana Dos Santos<sup>1</sup>, Francesca Colombo<sup>1</sup>, Gianfranco Frigerio<sup>1</sup>, Karin Nørby<sup>5</sup>, Jenny Plumb<sup>6</sup>, Paul Finglas<sup>6</sup>, Patrizia Restani, PhD<sup>1\*</sup>

1. Dipartimento di Scienze Farmacologiche e Biomolecolari, Università degli Studi di Milano, via Balzaretti 9, 20133 Milano

2. Swiss Toxicological Information Centre (STIC), Associated Institute of the University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

3. Department of Clinical Pharmacology and Toxicology, University Hospital Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

4. Universidad de São Paulo, Brasil

5. National Food Institute, Technical University of Denmark, Søborg, Denmark

6. Institute of Food Research, Norwich, UK

\* Corresponding author

Patrizia Restani, Dip. Scienze Farmacologiche e Biomolecolari, Università degli Studi di Milano, via Balzaretti 9, 20133 Milano, Phone: +39 0250318371, Email: patrizia.restani@unimi.it

**Key Words:** Poison Centres, Botanicals, side effects, misidentification, interactions, biomarkers

---

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1111/bcp.12519

Running head: Systematic review on adverse effects of plant food supplements

Word count: 4709; number of Tables: 6.

## SUMMARY

Aims: The object of this review was to collect available data on 1) adverse effects observed in humans from the intake of plant food supplements (PFS) or botanical preparations; 2) the misidentification of poisonous plants; 3) interactions between PFS/botanicals and conventional drugs or nutrients.

Methods: PubMed/MEDLINE and Embase were searched from database inception to June 2014, using the terms “adverse effect/s”, “poisoning/s”, “plant food supplement/s”, “misidentification/s”, and “interaction/s” in combination with the relevant plant name. All papers were critically evaluated according to the WHO Guidelines for causality assessment.

Results: Data were obtained for 66 plants that are common ingredients of PFS; of the 488 papers selected, 398 (81.6%) dealt with adverse effects directly associated with the botanical and 89 (18.2%) concerned interactions with conventional drugs. Only 1 case was associated with misidentification. Adverse effects were reported for 39 out of the 66 botanical substances searched. Of the total references, 86.5% were associated with 14 plants, including *Glycine max*/soybean (19.3%), *Glycyrrhiza glabra*/liquorice (12.5%), *Ginkgo biloba*/ginkgo and *Camellia sinensis*/green tea (both 8.6%).

Conclusions: Considering the length of time examined and the number of plants included in the review, it is remarkable that: 1) the adverse effects due to botanical ingredients were relatively infrequent, if assessed for causality; 2) the number of severe clinical reactions was very limited, but some fatal cases have been described.

Data presented in this review were assessed for quality in order to make the results maximally useful for clinicians in identifying or excluding deleterious effects of botanicals.

## 48 INTRODUCTION

49 The use of food supplements is growing in both Europe and USA [1]. Food supplements can contain vitamins,  
50 minerals, botanicals, amino acids, enzymes and many other ingredients, and are marketed in a variety of forms:  
51 tablets, capsules and powders, as well as drops, beverages and energy bars.

52 Food supplements are products intended to complement the normal diet; as they are foods and not drugs, they  
53 must not be claimed to be diagnostic, preventative or therapeutic. The wide diffusion of food supplements  
54 containing botanicals (plant food supplements, PFS) has far exceeded the availability of scientific information  
55 on their benefits, adverse effects and drug interactions. The information on benefits may be partially covered by  
56 the "tradition of use", but it is more difficult to evaluate possible adverse clinical effects due to plant properties,  
57 plant misidentification or interaction with pharmaceutical drugs or nutrients.

58 The first difficulty in this assessment is related to the discrimination of plant food supplements from traditional  
59 herbal products, because the same ingredient/product could be sold in different countries in one or the other  
60 category, and the relevant international legislation is not harmonised. Even in the European Union there are  
61 some differences in regulatory approaches [2].

62 Several papers have considered the adverse effects associated with botanicals, and in some cases reviewed data  
63 in a specific clinical area. A 5-year toxicological study published by Shaw et al. (1997) showed that among 1297  
64 symptomatic enquiries associated with botanicals (both food supplements and traditional remedies), there was a  
65 possible or confirmed association in 785 cases [3]. Some cases reported hepatotoxicity following the use of  
66 Chinese herbal medicine for skin disorders, allergic reactions to royal jelly and propolis and heavy metal  
67 poisoning caused by remedies from the Indian subcontinent. The conclusion by Shaw et al. was that although  
68 the overall risk to public health appeared to be low, certain groups of traditional remedies/food supplements  
69 could be associated with a number of potentially serious adverse effects.

70 Valli and Giardina in 2002 [4] reviewed the adverse cardiovascular events due to herbal preparations, while  
71 Pitter et al. in 2005 [5] considered food supplements aimed at body weight reduction, and reported adverse  
72 events including hepatic injury and death after the use of some herbal food supplements. For herbal *Ephedra*  
73 and ephedrine-containing food supplements (now banned in most countries, including European Union and  
74 USA) an increased risk of psychiatric, autonomic or gastrointestinal adverse events and heart palpitations have  
75 been reported.

76 A retrospective study performed by the Poison Center Surveillance Project evaluating dietary supplement-  
77 related calls to the centre in 2006 showed that: 1) most supplement-related adverse events were minor; 2) of 275

calls, two-thirds were rated as probably or possibly related to supplement use; 3) sympathomimetic toxicity was most common, with caffeine-containing products accounting for 47%, and products containing *Yohimbe* spp. accounting for 18% of supplement-related symptomatic cases; 4) drug–herb interaction was suspected in some cases [6].

The European Project PlantLIBRA (Plant Food Supplements: Levels of Intake, Benefit and Risk Assessment, Project n. 245199 – [www.plantlibra.eu](http://www.plantlibra.eu)) aims to foster the safe use of food supplements containing plants or botanical preparations by increasing science-based decision-making by regulators, researchers and food chain operators. The aim of this systematic review was to summarise, and critically assess for causality, the published data on: 1) adverse effects related to PFS/botanical ingredients, 2) the misidentification of poisonous plants, and 3) the interactions of PFS/botanicals with pharmaceutical drugs or nutrients.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### **Botanical ingredients**

The plants included in this review were derived from a consensus among partners reached after numerous meetings in the framework of the PlantLIBRA EU project and mainly represent those most commonly used in PFS. The 66 plants included in the search are listed in **Table 1**.

### **Literature Search**

Two of the most important scientific databases of references and abstracts on life sciences and biomedical topics, PubMed/MEDLINE and Embase, were systematically searched to create the present work. The following search strategy and selection criteria were used: data were collected from database inception to June 2014, with the terms “adverse effect/s”, “poisoning/s”, “plant food supplement/s”, “misidentification/s”, and “interaction/s” in combination with the relevant plant name.

### **Causality assessment**

The assessment of reports on adverse reactions to PFS and/or their botanical ingredients was performed according to the WHO Causality Assessment Criteria as described in **Table 2** [7].

### **Online Supplementary Data**

The number of papers collected during the project is very high, so that we cite only 150 papers but we offer the whole list of papers classified according to the WHO Causality Assessment Criteria as Online Supplementary Data.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The summary of data collected from the literature and assessed according to the WHO criteria of causality is reported in **Table 3**. Reports of adverse effects were found for 39 out of 66 botanical ingredients searched, representing 59% of all the plants included in the database search. Of the 492 papers collected, 402 (81.7%) described cases due to adverse effects directly associated with the botanical and 89 (18.1%) to interactions with conventional drugs. Only 1 case was associated with a misidentification of the ingredient *Passiflora incarnata* [8].

Most events (426, or 86.6%) were associated with 14 botanical ingredients; the number of papers for each of them ranged between 13 and 95.

### Adverse effects due to the botanical as such or as an ingredient of PFS

The distribution of adverse effects was different in relation to the plant considered; **Table 4** lists the number of papers regarding specific adverse effects associated with the botanicals searched and the relative causality according to the WHO classification. Since the use of a rechallenge is rare or even ethically unacceptable, the class "Certain" and "Probable/likely" are considered together as "Certain/probable association".

For the 14 most documented plants, the total number of papers was 343, but during the evaluation the causality was considered uncertain/unclassifiable in 61 of them; 41.4% of all the papers were associated with only two botanicals: *Glycine max* (91) and *Glycyrrhiza glabra* (51).

### Adverse effects due to interaction with nutrients or conventional drugs

**Table 5** illustrates the papers regarding the interaction of PFS/botanicals with food, beverages or conventional drugs; assessment of causality is also reported. Of the 83 papers, 38.6% was associated with *Citrus aurantium* (18) and *Ginkgo biloba* (14).

## Form responsible for adverse effects

Table 6 lists the part of plant used and the commercial form (botanical as such, PFS, food) associated with the adverse effects described. In some cases, the description of product was limited and was carefully considered in causality assessment.

## Case reports and side effects associated with PFS and botanical ingredients: a review of the top 14

As reported above, even though 39 plants (among those searched) were associated with adverse effects, only those reported as causal in at least 10 papers (total number in Table 3) were considered in this review. As a consequence, details will be reported for only 14 plants, listed according to the alphabetical order of the Latin name.

### *Camellia sinensis* (L.) Kuntze (green tea)

Numerous papers (34) have been collected on adverse effects related to *C. sinensis* (L.) Kuntze; 29 of them were considered sufficiently documented for causality assessment. Side effects were associated with derivatives from green tea leaves and involved mainly acute hepatotoxicity. Patients showed clinical symptoms with different severity, ranging from a mild increase of serum aminotransferase levels to fulminant hepatitis requiring liver transplantation [9-12].

The types of preparation responsible for the adverse effects, with different degrees of relationship, were plant food supplements based on green tea extracts; among them:

- hydroalcoholic extract [13-15];
- ethanolic extract [10,12,16];
- aqueous extract of green tea, consumed as tea or in capsules [9, 11, 17].

Supplements were used principally for body weight control and in one case for reducing hair loss. For tea infusion, the daily intake was from 2/3 cups up to some litres. Generally, the time of onset of the reactions ranged from 5 days to 2 years of daily consumption. Most cases were classified as "certain/probable" or "possible" when other factors could contribute to the adverse effect such as age, concomitant pathological

conditions, several ingredients present in the preparation. Moreover, since the substance involved in the adverse effect was not always identified, an adulteration or contamination could not be excluded. For example, in two papers, the hepatotoxicity due to two Chinese herbal supplements containing tea was attributed to the presence of N-nitroso phenfluoramine [18-19].

Adverse effects of *C. sinensis* seem to be modulated by various factors, and in particular by the chemical composition and the type of herbal preparations. In fact, all preparations differ in their chemical composition: 1) powdered leaves contain all the tea active components; 2) infusions and aqueous extracts contain mostly hydrophilic compounds; and 3) hydroalcoholic extracts contain both hydrophilic and lipophilic components. The components most frequently indicated as responsible for hepatotoxicity are catechins and their gallic esters. In particular, the role of EGCG (EpiGalloCatechin-3-Gallate) seems predominant, as shown also in experimental *in vitro* and *in vivo* assays (20); this conclusion could also be supported by its high concentration in green tea extracts [21]. The association seems further confirmed by the lack of known adverse effects to fermented tea (black tea), where the content of EGCG is significantly reduced.

Interaction between green tea and conventional drugs was recognised in 9 papers; 3 of them with certain/probable and 6 with possible causality. Most interactions were with statins, where an increase in plasma concentration and a worsening of the related side effects, such as rhabdomyolysis, were observed [21-22]. Green tea was also responsible for interfering with a certain number of drugs such as warfarin, with inhibition of activity due to the presence of vitamin K in tea [23], or acetaminophen, with exacerbation of the hepatotoxicity [24], and other natural compounds such as lutein [21], usnic acid and guggulsterones [25] or *Cassia angustifolia* extract [26]. In the papers reporting interaction, aqueous and hydroalcoholic extract were the most usual forms involved.

#### ***Cimicifuga racemosa* (L.) Nutt (black cohosh)**

Papers related to *C. racemosa* described mainly specific adverse effects (19/23) and among them 14 were classified as "certain/probable" and 5 "possible".

The cases described included:

- 1) hepatotoxicity [27-28], with cases of autoimmune hepatitis [29]
- 2) myopathy with severe asthenia and rhabdomyolysis [30];
- 3) reversible complete heart block with bradycardia [31];
- 4) cutaneous vasculitis [32] and cutaneous pseudolymphoma [33].



195 Adverse reactions were due to the chronic ingestion of *C. racemosa* extracts, as such or as an ingredient of PFS  
196 (Table 6). In the case of hepatotoxicity, the event was quickly reversible after discontinuation, except in two  
197 cases where liver transplant became necessary [27, 34], and in the case described by Lynch et al [27], the event  
198 was fatal.

199 The possible interaction with conventional drugs is mainly based on *in vitro* tests, where the inhibition of  
200 CYP3A4 activity was observed [35].

#### 202 ***Cinnamomum verum* J. Prest (cinnamon)**

203 Adverse effects collected in the scientific literature for *C. verum* were mainly classified as events with  
204 certain/probable causality (17/23 or 73.9%). Adverse effects were mainly localised in the oral cavity and were  
205 due to the use of cinnamon-flavoured beverages, candies and chewing-gum. The most important adverse effects  
206 were:

- 207 1) stomatitis with swelling and burning of lip, tongue and cheeks with a case of ulceration [36-37];
- 208 2) hyperkeratotic plaques covering most of the dorsal and lateral tongue and involving the buccal mucosa  
209 [38];
- 210 3) allergic leukoplakia of oral mucosa [39], and contact allergy [40];
- 211 4) squamous cell carcinoma of the tongue [41].

212 Some contact dermatitis was experienced after consumption of cinnamon-flavoured food or solutions [42] or  
213 PFS containing *C. verum* oil [43]. One case of intoxication was observed in a child [44]. No case of interaction  
214 with nutrients or conventional drug was found.

#### 217 ***Citrus aurantium* L. (bitter orange)**

218 Specific adverse effects (7) and interaction with conventional drugs (18) have been reported.

219 The most usual adverse reactions were in the cardiovascular system, including hypertension, tachycardia, and  
220 ventricular extrasystoles [45].

221 Ischaemic colitis [46], allergic bronchospasm [47] and hepatitis with massive necrosis [45] were also reported.

222 Attempted weight loss was the most common reason for using PFS containing *C. aurantium*. In one case, the  
223 subject used a decoction of leaves to treat a common cold [48]. An extract of ripe or unripe fruit (usually

unspecified) was the most usual form taken by consumers. The presence of stimulant amines, such as synephrine and octopamine, in *C. aurantium* explains the numerous adverse cardiovascular effects. The chemical structure of synephrine resembles that of the neurotransmitter adrenalin and of the alkaloid ephedrin, so that it acts as a sympathomimetic substance [49].

When *C. aurantium* was used in combination with caffeine, ephedrine, yohimbine and phenylethylamine, but also thyroxine, enhancement of the adverse effects was reported: stimulant cardiovascular effects, such as tachycardia and hypertension [50], ventricular fibrillation [51], angina [52], acute myocardial infarction [53], ischaemic stroke [54] and exercise-induced syncope [55].

Less frequently described were rhabdomyolysis [45], ischaemic colitis [56] and psychosis [57].

Cases of adverse effects to *C. aurantium* were mainly classified as “possible” due to the frequent presence of accompanying conditions such as obesity, hypothyroidism, asthma, diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidaemia, alcoholism, drug abuse, depression, anxiety, nicotine use, and dehydration.

#### ***Echinacea purpurea* (L.) Moench (Eastern purple coneflower)**

The review selected a total of 20 papers reporting adverse effects due to *E. purpurea*. They were mainly associated with ethanolic extracts of root and herb, but side reactions to aqueous extracts were also reported. Causality was often (10/18) defined as “unclassifiable” because of the lack of clear information on the botanical preparation, description of the adverse event, patient’s anamnesis or insufficient evidence of exposure. The lack of data could be partially explained by the fact that many adverse effects were found in papers from regulatory bodies (WHO, ADRAC, BfArM, FDA), where details on the specific *E. purpurea* preparation were not included. Adverse reactions were associated with both allergy and hepatic or gastrointestinal effects. Allergic reactions were mainly due to IgE-mediated hypersensitivity [58] and could be due to the known immuno-stimulating properties of *E. purpurea*. *Echinacea* derivatives stimulate macrophage and enhance cytokine production, which could be responsible for adverse consequences in humans [59]. Hepatotoxicity was described as an acute event with features of cholestatic autoimmune hepatitis [60], and as a case of fatal liver necrosis [61]. Other clinical manifestations probably associated with *E. purpurea* were: a case of erythema nodosum [62], diarrhoea, vomiting, headache and drowsiness [63].

The possible interaction of *E. purpurea* with pharmaceutical drugs was considered by some authors. Gorski et al. (2004) [64] considered that the observed induction of CYP3A4 activity explained the interaction of unspecified root extracts with various medications, such as tolbutamide, midazolam (oral or intravenous administration),

dextromethorphan. Other interactions with albuterol, allopurinol, beclomethasone, dihydrocodeine, roxithromycin were evaluated as unclassifiable because of the lack of clinical details [58]. In contrast, Gurley et al. (2004) [65] found there to be no significant effect of *E. purpurea* on cytochromes CYP3A4, CYP1A2, CYP2E1 or CYP2D6 activity. The incongruence with the results of Gorski et al. (2004) [64] is probably due to the different type of extracts used: Gorski et al. (2004) used the root extract (containing more than 1% phenols as cichoric acid, chlorogenic acid and echinacoside), while Gurley et al. (2004) [65] used the whole plant extract, containing principally cichoric acid.

### ***Ginkgo biloba* L. (ginkgo)**

The review of adverse effects associated with *Ginkgo biloba* produced 42 papers, 28 related to adverse effect to the plant derivative as such and 14 reporting interaction with conventional drugs. Most of them (33) were classified as events with certain/probable and possible causality. Leaves and seeds are the parts most usually consumed, both as such (roasted or cooked seeds) and as extracts. The type of extract is normally undefined apart from the study by Yagmur et al (2005) [66], where the product is specifically indicated (EGb761).

Adverse reactions are usually associated with haemorrhagic complications [67-68], with one case of a subdural haematoma [69]. The activity is probably due to the antiplatelet activity of ginkgosides, and the Ginkgolide B seems to be the main terpenoid responsible for such effects [69-70].

In some papers, other symptoms were identified: acute generalised exanthematous pustulosis [71], toxic epidermal necrolysis [72], and ventricular arrhythmia [73] or convulsions [74].

An increased risk of bleeding complications was observed when *G. biloba* was taken concomitantly with other conventional drugs acting on coagulation, such as acetyl salicylic acid [75-76], ibuprofen [77], and warfarin [78].

A subtherapeutic level of anticonvulsants (phenytoin and valproic acid), due to an induction of the cytochrome CYP2C19 by ginkgo active compounds, was also observed in a case of fatal breakthrough seizure [79].

### ***Glycine max* (L.) Merr (soybean)**

The review produced 95 papers reporting adverse effects associated with the consumption of *Glycine max*; among these, only a few (4) documented an interaction with nutrients or drugs. In particular, a decreased absorption of levothyroxine was attributed to the use of a food supplement containing soybean proteins [80], while soy milk and seaweed ingestion was associated with serious thyroid dysfunction [81]. Moreover, foods containing soybean and its isoflavones were responsible for bleeding when combined with oestradiol [82].

284 During a clinical trial studying the effect of soy isoflavones and melatonin in relieving menopausal symptoms,  
285 one patient experienced tachycardia, weight gain, insomnia, drowsiness and headache [82].

286 The adverse effects due to *Glycine max* are mainly associated with the well-known allergenic potential of this  
287 legume (30/91), which is used as an ingredient in several foods and preparations such as soy milk, paediatric  
288 formulas, lecithin, etc [83-84]. Soybean is included in the list of major allergens requiring specific labelling, and  
289 the proteins responsible for the allergic reactions have been widely studied (IUIS Allergen Nomenclature Sub-  
290 Committee - <http://www.allergen.org/search.php?allergen=Glycine+max>) and identified [84].

291 The second most important group of side effects due to soybean derivatives is associated with the isoflavone  
292 fraction [85-86]. *G. max* isoflavones are frequently contained in food supplements aimed at reducing  
293 menopause-related symptoms and diseases. Side effects due to their pseudo-hormonal activity have been  
294 observed both in females and males. In particular: precocious thelarche [87], uterine fibroids [88], ureteral  
295 mullerian carcinosarcoma associated with endometriosis [89], gynaecomastia [90], hypogonadism and erectile  
296 dysfunction [91], testicular cancer and reproductive disorders [85, 87].

297 Other case reports associated with *Glycine max*, with satisfactory demonstration of causality, follow:

- 298 1. gastro-intestinal adverse effects, including enterocolitis, vomiting, abdominal pain and diarrhoea,  
299 gastric cancer and hepatitis [92-95];
- 300 2. thyroid dysfunction caused by the assumption of soybean "milk" containing high levels of iodine [96];
- 301 3. bladder cancer [97];
- 302 4. cases with different symptoms, such as hypophosphatemia in very-low-birth-weight infants, fatal  
303 hypernatremia, migraine, hypochloremic alkalosis, transient methemoglobinemia [98-101].

304

305

### 306 ***Glycyrrhiza glabra* L. (liquorice)**

307 The review selected 60 papers reporting adverse reactions (specific reactions and interactions) after the  
308 consumption of liquorice. Most of them were classified as certain/probable (44), and only three were deemed  
309 "unlikely". The root is the plant part utilised; sweets, chewing gum, drinks and PFS are the most usual forms  
310 consumed but data on the preparation is not always included in papers. Most adverse events had the same  
311 symptomatic pattern, which is attributable to the biological activity of glycyrrhetic acid. Hypokalemia and  
312 hypertension are the most frequent adverse events [102-103], which can be worsened by the concomitant use of

conventional drugs, such as bendrofluazide [104], hydrochlorothiazide [105], or other diuretics [106].

Interaction with oral contraceptives, with a similar clinical pattern (hypokalemia and water retention), has also been reported [107]. In some cases, the clinical evolution was particularly severe with rhabdomyolysis [108], hypokalemic paralysis [109], hypokalemic encephalopathy [110], and cardiac arrest [111].

The adverse effects are mainly due to the liquorice's active compound, glycyrrhetic acid, which inhibits the 11- $\beta$  hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase-type 2 (11 $\beta$ -HSDH-2) enzyme that is present in the principal cells of the cortical collecting duct. Since cortisol and aldosterone are similar steroid hormones, the enzyme is needed to inactivate cortisol before it binds the aldosterone receptor inside principal cells. When 11 $\beta$ -HSDH-2 is inhibited, an aldosterone-like effect is promoted, which suppresses the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone axis and causes volume expansion, hypertension, hypokalemia and metabolic alkalosis [112].

#### ***Harpagophytum procumbens* (Burch) DC (Devil's claw)**

Case reports associated with this botanical mainly referred to the treatment of low back pain or arthrosis of hip and knee. All studies were classified as probable/likely and were associated with derivatives of the tuber or the whole plant (extracts or PFS, see Table 6). Acting as a COX-2 inhibitor, adverse effects associated with *H. procumbens* preparations, which were predictable and dose-dependent, included mainly gastrointestinal disorders [113]. Throbbing frontal headache, tinnitus, anorexia and loss of taste for food were described in one patient by Grahame and Robinson in 1981 [114].

#### ***Hypericum perforatum* L. (St John's wort)**

Among the 10 papers describing adverse effects associated with *H. perforatum*, 4 (40%) were classified as certain/probable and 6 as possible. The best described cases reported convulsions and confusion [115], manic attack [116] and hypertension with [117] or without delirium [118]. Other authors described sexual dysfunction [119], serotonin-syndrome-like symptoms with anxiety, hypertension, tachycardia and nausea [120] and finally, a 5-fold increase of transaminases [121].

Several authors reported clinical cases of patients suffering from adverse effects due to an interaction between *H. perforatum* and drugs. The events were considered certain/probable in 6 out of the 9 cases and possible in the other 3. It has been shown that there may be clinically significant drug-drug interactions between *H. perforatum* and substances metabolized through the CYP3A4 isozyme. Specifically, reductions in therapeutic efficacy at standard doses of important CYP3A4 substrates may be observed [122].

When *H. perforatum* was used in combination with drugs, reduced bioavailability was shown for the following: verapamil [123], glicazide, nifedipine, omeprazole, voriconazole, anticoagulant drugs such as phenprocoumon and warfarin, statins such as atorvastatin and simvastatin [124], talinolol [125], digoxin [124, 126], nevirapine [127], contraceptive drugs, cyclosporine, tacrolimus and theophylline [124, 126], loperamide together with *Valeriana officinalis* [128]. Other authors described an interaction with selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitors to give serotonin-syndrome [126]. In addition, long-term use of *H.perforatum* was considered responsible for adrenergic desensitisation and decreased responsiveness to vasopressors, leading to a cardiovascular collapse in a patient during anaesthesia [129]. Further interactions producing a decrease of bioavailability were suggested by Hu et al. [126] with amitriptyline, alprazolam, midazolam, fexofenadine, imatinib, irinotecan, methadone, indinavir, quazepam.

#### ***Panax ginseng* C.A. Meyer (ginseng)**

The adverse effects collected in the scientific literature for ginseng can be classified as specific effects in 11 cases and as an interaction with conventional drugs in 5.

Among the 11, one was classified as certain/probable and 6 as possible; the remaining 4 papers were not sufficiently documented. The part of the plant utilised is the root and little information is normally included about the method of preparation. The adverse reactions described were: stimulant effects, such as nervousness and tremor, a maniacal episode in a patient with recurrent depressive illness [130], metrorrhagia [131], and allergic reactions including generalised urticarial rash and difficulty in breathing [132].

Clinical events associated with co-administration of *P. ginseng* with conventional drugs included interaction with: the anticoagulant drug warfarin [133], the antidepressant drugs phenelzine, [134] and clomipramine [135] inducing manic symptoms, and the tyrosine-kinase inhibitor imatinib responsible for liver damage via an interaction with the cytochrome CYP3A4 [136].

#### ***Valeriana officinalis* L. (valerian)**

Cases were classified as specific adverse effects in 6 out of 14 papers or interaction with nutrient and conventional drugs in the remaining 8. Causality of the adverse effects was rarely documented, likewise the kind of product used by the patient. The case classified as certain/probable reported hepatotoxicity [137], including a fulminant hepatic failure [138].

372 Among the cases of interaction with conventional drugs, nutrients or food/beverages, only 4 cases were  
373 considered sufficiently documented, they reported cases of:

- 374 1) hypotension due to an interaction with *Matricaria chamomilla* and *Melissa officinalis* [139];
- 375 2) hand tremor, dizziness and muscular fatigue due to co-administration with *Passiflora incarnata* and  
376 lorazepam [140];
- 377 3) change of mental status due to a consumption together with alcohol and *Ginkgo biloba* [141];
- 378 4) hepatitis due to interaction with *Scutellaria lateriflor*, containing alkylating agents, glycoside and  
379 volatile oils [142].

#### 380 ***Vitex agnus castus* L. (vitex or 'chaste tree')**

381 Nineteen papers described adverse effects to *V. agnus castus*. Some of them were observed during clinical  
382 studies performed during postmarketing surveillance. This is because in several European Countries *V. agnus*  
383 *castus* is included among botanical ingredients used in traditional medicine (mainly Germany and Austria),  
384 requiring marketing authorisation. All these products contain ethanolic extracts of the fruit of *V. agnus castus*,  
385 and are used for premenstrual syndrome. Adverse effects reported vary widely; the most frequent and  
386 documented clinical events are:

- 387 1) inter-menstrual bleeding or disorders [143-145];
- 388 2) gastrointestinal disorders with diarrhoea, persistent gastroenteritis and nausea [143, 145-146];
- 389 3) acneform facial inflammation [146];
- 390 4) headache [145];
- 391 5) weight gain 143, 145-146];
- 392 6) dizziness [143, 146];
- 393 7) allergic reactions with pruritus, erythema and gastrointestinal symptoms [144].

394 Other less frequent adverse effects were: arteriospasm and hepatitis [147].

395 Causality between plant intake and adverse effects was considered certain/probable in most cases (13/18),  
396 because the adverse effects were registered during well controlled clinical studies, and the plant was often the  
397 only "treatment" used.

398

#### 399 ***Vitis vinifera* L. (grape)**

400 All papers collected for effects of this botanical (14) were classified as "certain/probable". Most of them can be

considered as allergic reactions, including: oral syndrome, urticaria, angioedema, hypotension and respiratory distress, anaphylaxis and finally exercise-induced anaphylaxis [148-150]. The most important allergens from grapevine are endochitinase A and B, a lipid transfer protein (LTP), and a thaumatin-like protein [149]. No interaction with nutrients or conventional drugs has been described.

#### 4. CONCLUSIONS

At the first step in searching databases for adverse effects to the 66 botanical ingredients considered (Table 1), some thousands of papers were considered. With the application of WHO assessment criteria (Table 2), the number of papers with sufficient evidence of a causal relationship was reduced to 492 for 39 plants (see Table 3). No paper describing significant adverse effects was found for the remaining 27 plants. Fourteen plants were the most frequently cited, and among them two were responsible for 32% of the adverse effects reported:

1. *Glycine max* (soybean) was considered in 95 papers, where its role in allergic reactions and hormonal-like activity was demonstrated. Both effects are well known; in fact soybean is included in the list of major food allergens and the hormonal activity of phytoestrogens is the reason that it is used in menopause.
2. *Glycyrrhiza glabra* (liquorice) was usually responsible for hypokalemia and hypertension due to its content of glycyrrhetic acid. The hypertensive potential of liquorice and its interaction with conventional drugs are also quite well known in clinical practice.

Generally speaking, we could conclude that:

1. cases of adverse effects to botanicals are numerous, in term of citations by scientific literature or phytovigilance centres, but an assessment according to the WHO criteria indicates that the number of those with adequate evidence for a causal relationship is significantly less,
2. given the long period of time considered and the number of plants included in the review, the occurrence of adverse effects of botanical ingredients is relatively low,
3. the number of severe clinical reactions is very limited, but some fatal cases have been described,
4. it is important to recognise that an underestimation is also possible, for different reasons: a) the consumer usually considers botanicals as safe products and does not report their use if they are admitted to hospital or emergency service, b) since they use PFS at their own discretion, consumers



could avoid informing the family doctor, fearing a reprimand, c) data collected by poison centres are published only in a relatively few cases.

Despite these apparently reassuring findings, we still consider it important to direct the attention of clinicians to the possibility of rare but severe adverse effects from botanical preparations or ingredients of food supplements or traditional medicines. For example, the severe hepatotoxicity of *Camellia sinensis* (green tea) was unknown before the product Hexolise, containing a hydroalcoholic extract was marketed and which was found to be responsible for a number of cases of acute hepatitis in France and Belgium [13, 17]. Although very rare (considering the large number of green tea consumers in the world), the severity of these reactions needs information and vigilance.

Similarly *Citrus aurantium*, which contains adrenergic amines, must be considered a potential risk both for athletes and the general population, taking into consideration the possible abuse as a substitute for the products containing *Ephedra*, now banned.

Data presented in this review were assessed for quality, in order to be of maximum value for clinicians and the clinical management of affected patients.

## DECLARATION OF INTEREST

All authors have completed the Unified Competing Interest form at [http://www.icmje.org/coi\\_disclosure.pdf](http://www.icmje.org/coi_disclosure.pdf) (available on request from the corresponding author) and declare no support from any organization for the submitted work, no financial relationships with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work in the previous 3 years and no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

*This research has received funding from the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement n° 245199, and has been carried out within the PlantLIBRA project (www.plantlibra.eu). This paper does not necessarily reflect the Commission's views or future policy in these areas.*

## REFERENCES

- 459 01. NBJ's Supplement Business Report. An analysis of markets, trends, competition and strategy in the U.S.  
460 dietary supplement industry. Nutrition Business Journal 2012. Available at: [http://newhope360.com/site-](http://newhope360.com/site-files/newhope360.com/files/uploads/2013/04/TOC_SUMM120928.supp%20report%20FINAL%20stand)  
461 [files/newhope360.com/files/uploads/2013/04/TOC\\_SUMM120928.supp%20report%20FINAL%20stand](http://newhope360.com/files/uploads/2013/04/TOC_SUMM120928.supp%20report%20FINAL%20stand)  
462 [ard.pdf](http://newhope360.com/files/uploads/2013/04/TOC_SUMM120928.supp%20report%20FINAL%20stand). Last access July 3, 2014.
- 463 02. Silano V, Coppens P, Larrañaga-Guetaria A, Minghetti P, Roth-Ehrang R. Regulations applicable to  
464 plant food supplements and relative products in the European Union. Food Funct 2011; 2:710-719.
- 465 03. Shaw D, Leon C, Kolev S, Murray V. Traditional remedies and food supplements. A 5-year toxicological  
466 study (1991-1995). Drug Saf 1997; 17:342-356.
- 467 04. Valli G, Giardina E-GV. Benefits, adverse effects and drug interactions of herbal therapies with  
468 cardiovascular effects. J. Am Coll Cardiol 2002; 39:1083-1095.
- 469 05. Pitter MH, Schmidt K, Ernst E. Adverse events of herbal food supplements for body weight reduction:  
470 systematic review. Obes Rev 2005; 6:93-111.
- 471 06. Haller CA, Kearney T, Bent S, Ko R, Benowitz NL, Olson K. Dietary supplement adverse effects: report  
472 of a one-year poison center surveillance project. J. Med Toxicol 2008; 4:84-92.
- 473 07. WHO guidelines on safety monitoring of herbal medicines in pharmacovigilance systems. World Health  
474 Organization, Geneva, 2004.
- 475 08. Ratnatilaka A, Yakandawala D, Ratnayake J, Sugathadasa S. Poisoning with "hondala" leaves due to  
476 misidentification as "passion fruit" leaves. Ceylon Med J 2003; 48:23.
- 477 09. Federico A, Tiso A, Loguercio C. A case of hepatotoxicity caused by green tea. Free Radic Biol Med  
478 2007; 43:474.
- 479 10. Gloro R, Hourmand-Ollivier I, Mosquet B, Mosquet L, Rousselot P, Salamé E, Piquet MA, Dao T.  
480 Fulminant hepatitis during self-medication with hydroalcoholic extract of green tea. Eur J Gastroenterol  
481 Hepatol 2005;17:1135-1137.
- 482 11. Pillukat MH, Bester C, Hensel A, Lichtenberg M, Petereit F, Beckebaum S, Müller KM, Schmidt HH.  
483 Concentrated green tea extract induces severe acute hepatitis in a 6-year-old woman. A case report with  
484 pharmaceutical analysis. J Ethnopharmacol 2014; doi: 10.1016/j.jep.2014.05.015.
- 485 12. Vial T, Bernard G, Lewden B, Dumortier J, Descotes J. Acute hepatitis due to Exolise, a *Camellia*  
486 *sinensis*-derived drug. Gastroenterol Clin Biol 2003; 27:1166-1167.
- 487 13. Fong TL, Klontz KC, Canas-Coto A, Casper SJ, Durazo FA, Davern TJ 2nd, Hayashi P, Lee WM, Seeff  
488 LB. Hepatotoxicity due to hydroxycut: a case series. Am J Gastroenterol 2010; 105:1561-1566.

- 489 14. Rashid NN, Grant J. Hydroxicut hepatotoxicity. MJA 2010; 192:173-174.
- 490 15. Sharma T, Wong L, Tsai N, Wong RD. Hydroxycut® (herbal weight loss supplement) induced  
491 hepatotoxicity: a case report and review of literature. Hawaii Med J 2010; 69:188-190.
- 492 16. Seddik M, Lucidarme D, Creusy C, Filoche B. Is Exolise hepatotoxic? Gastroenterol Clin Biol 2001;  
493 25:834-835.
- 494 17. Lee JI, Cho BK, Ock SM, Park HJ. Pigmented contact cheilitis: from green tea? Contact Dermatitis  
495 2010; 62:60-61.
- 496 18. Kanda T, Yokosuka O, Okada O, Suzuki Y, Saisho H. Severe hepatotoxicity associated with Chinese  
497 diet product 'Onshidou-Genbi-Kounou'. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2003; 18:354-355.
- 498 19. Lau G, Lo DS, Yao YJ, Leong HT, Chan CL, Chu SS. A fatal case of hepatic failure possibly induced by  
499 nitrosufenfluramine: a case report. Med Sci Law 2004; 44:252-263.
- 500 20. Galati G, Lin A, Sultan AM, O'Brien PJ. Cellular and in vivo hepatotoxicity caused by green tea phenolic  
501 acids and catechins. Free Radical Biol Med 2006; 40:570-580.
- 502 21. Mazzanti G, Menniti-Ippolito F, Moro PA, Cassetti F, Raschetti R, Santuccio C, Mastrangelo S.  
503 Hepatotoxicity from green tea: a review of the literature and two unpublished cases. Eur J Clin  
504 Pharmacol 2009; 65:331-341.
- 505 22. Werba JP, Giroli M, Cavalca V, Nava MC, Tremoli E, Dal Bo L. The effect of green tea on simvastatin  
506 tolerability. Ann Intern Med 2008; 149:286-287.
- 507 23. Taylor JR, Wilt VM. Probable antagonism of warfarin by green tea. Ann Pharmacother 1999; 33:426-  
508 428.
- 509 24. Shim M, Saab S. Severe hepatotoxicity due to Hydroxycut: a case report. Dig Dis Sci 2009; 54:406-408.
- 510 25. Radha Krishna Y, Mittal V, Grewal P, Fiel M, Schiano T. Acute liver failure caused by "fat burners" and  
511 dietary supplements: a case report and literature review. Can J Gastroenterol 2011; 25:157-160.
- 512 26. Thiolet C, Mennecier D, Bredin C, Moulin O, Rimlinger H, Nizou C, Vergeau B, Farret O. Acute  
513 cytolysis induced by Chinese tea. Gastroenterol Clin Biol 2002; 26:939-940.
- 514 27. Lynch CR, Folkers ME, Hutson WR. Fulminant hepatic failure associated with the use of black cohosh: a  
515 case report. Liver Transpl 2006; 12:989-992.
- 516 28. Vannacci A, Lapi F, Gallo E, Vietri M, Toti M, Menniti-Ippolito F, Raschetti R, Firenzuoli F, Mugelli A.  
517 A case of hepatitis associated with long-term use of *Cimicifuga racemosa*. Altern Ther Health Med 2009;  
518 15:62-63.

- 519 29. Zimmermann R, Witte A, Voll RE, Strobel J, Frieser M. Coagulation activation and fluid retention  
520 associated with the use of black cohosh: a case study. *Climacteric* 2010; 13:187-191.
- 521 30. Minciullo PL, Saija A, Patafi M, Marotta G, Ferlazzo B, Gangemi S. Muscle damage induced by black  
522 cohosh (*Cimicifuga racemosa*). *Phytomedicine* 2006; 13:115-118.
- 523 31. McKenzie SC, Rahman A. Bradycardia in a patient taking black cohosh. *Med J Aust* 2010; 193:479-481.
- 524 32. Ingraffea A, Donohue K, Wilkel C, Falanga V. Cutaneous vasculitis in two patients taking an herbal  
525 supplement containing black cohosh. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2007; 56:S124-126.
- 526 33. Meyer S, Vogt T, Obermann EC, Landthaler M, Karrer S. Cutaneous pseudolymphoma induced by  
527 *Cimicifuga racemosa*. *Dermatology* 2007; 214:94-96.
- 528 34. Whiting PW, Clouston A, Kerlin P. Black cohosh and other herbal remedies associated with acute  
529 hepatitis. *Med J Aust* 2002; 177:440-443.
- 530 35. Gurley BJ, Swain A, Hubbard MA, Williams DK, Barone G, Hartsfield F, Tong Y, Carrier DJ,  
531 Cheboyina S, Battu SK. Clinical assessment of CYP2D6-mediated herb-drug interactions in humans:  
532 effects of milk thistle, black cohosh, goldenseal, kava kava, St. John's wort, and Echinacea. *Mol Nutr*  
533 *Food Res* 2008; 52:755-763.
- 534 36. Siqueira AS, Santos CCO, Cristino MR, Silva DC, Pinheiro Maria das graças R, Pinheiro JJV. Intraoral  
535 contact mucositis induced by cinnamon-flavored chewing gum – A case report. *Quintessence Int* 2009;  
536 40: 719-721.
- 537 37. Cohen DM and Bhattacharyya I. Cinnamon-induced oral erythema multiformelike sensitivity reaction.  
538 *JADA* 2000; 131:929-934.
- 539 38. Hoskyn J and Guin JD. Contact allergy to cinnamal in a patient with oral lichen planus. *Contact*  
540 *Dermatitis* 2005; 52:160-161.
- 541 39. Mihail RC. Oral leukoplakia caused by cinnamon food allergy. *J Otolaryngol* 1992; 21:366-367.
- 542 40. Tremblay S, Avon SL. Contact allergy to cinnamon: case report. *J Can Dent Assoc* 2008; 74:445-461.
- 543 41. Westra WH, McMurray JS, Califano J, Flint PW, Corio RL. Squamous cell carcinoma of the tongue  
544 associated with cinnamon gum use: a case report. *Head Neck* 1998; 20:430-433.
- 545 42. Siegel MA. Perioral dermatitis. *J Am Dent Assoc* 2006; 137:1121-1122.
- 546 43. Campbell TM, Neems R, Moore J. Case report: severe exacerbation of rosacea induced by cinnamon  
547 supplements. *J Drugs Dermatol* 2008; 7:586-587.
- 548 44. Pilapil V.R. Toxic manifestations of cinnamon oil ingestion in a child. *Clin Pediatr* 1989; 28:276.

- 549 45. Vitalone A, Menniti-Ippolito F, Moro PA, Firenzuoli F, Raschetti R, Mazzanti G. Suspected adverse  
550 reactions associated with herbal products used for weight loss: a case series reported to the Italian  
551 National Institute of Health. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 2011; 67:215-224.
- 552 46. Sultan S, Spector J, Michell RM. Ischemic colitis associated with use of a bitter orange-containing  
553 dietary weight-loss supplement. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2006; 81:1630-1631.
- 554 47. Felix R, Martorell C, Martorell A, Pineda F, Cerda JC, De Las Marinas MD. Induced bronchospasm after  
555 handling of orange flavedo (zest). *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2013; 131:1423-1425.
- 556 48. Chan TY, Tam HP, Lai CK, Chan AY. A multidisciplinary approach to the toxicologic problems  
557 associated with the use of herbal medicines. *Ther Drug Monit* 2005; 27:53-57.
- 558 49. Rietjens IMCM, Martena MJ, Boersma MG, Spiegelberg W, Alink GM. Molecular mechanisms of  
559 toxicity of important food-borne phytotoxins. *Mol Nutr Food Res* 2005; 49:131-158.
- 560 50. Haller CA, Benowitz NL, Jacob P 3rd. Hemodynamic effects of ephedra-free weight-  
561 loss supplements in humans. *Am J Med* 2005; 118:998-1003.
- 562 51. Stephensen TA, Sarlay RJr. Ventricular fibrillation associated with use of synephrine containing dietary  
563 supplement. *Mil Med* 2009; 174:1313-1319.
- 564 52. Gange CA, Madias C, Felix-Getzik EM, Weintraub AR, Estes NA 3rd. Variant angina associated with  
565 bitter orange in a dietary supplement. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2006; 81:545-548.
- 566 53. Thomas JE, Munir JA, McIntyre PZ, Ferguson MA. STEMI in a 24-year-old man after use of a  
567 synephrine-containing dietary supplement. *Tex Heart Inst J* 2009; 36:586-590.
- 568 54. Holmes RO, Tavee J. Vasospasm and stroke attributable to ephedra-free Xenadrine: Case report. *Mil*  
569 *Med* 2008; 173:708-710.
- 570 55. Nasir JM, Durning SJ, Ferguson M, Barold HS, Haigney MC. Exercise-induced syncope associated  
571 with QT prolongation and ephedra-free Xenadrine. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2004; 79:1059-1062.
- 572 56. Ryan CK, Reamy B, Rochester JA. Ischemic colitis associated with herbal product use in a young  
573 woman. *JABPF* 2002; 15: 309-312.
- 574 57. Retamero C, Rivera T, Murphy K. "Ephedra-Free" Diet pill-induced psychosis. *Psychosomatics* 2011;  
575 52:579-582.
- 576 58. Mullins RJ, Heddle R. Adverse reactions associated with echinacea: the Australian experience. *Ann*  
577 *Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2002; 88:42-51.
- 578 59. Barrett B. Echinacea: a safety review. *HerbalGram* 2003; 57:36-39.

- 579 60. Kocaman O, Hulagu S, Senturk O. Echinacea-induced severe acute hepatitis with features of cholestatic  
580 autoimmune hepatitis. Eur J Intern Med 2008; 19:148-152.
- 581 61. Jacobsson I, Jönsson AK, Gerdén B, Hägg S. Spontaneously reported adverse reactions in association  
582 with complementary and alternative medicine substances in Sweden. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf  
583 2009; 18:1039-1047.
- 584 62. Lee Soon S, Crawford RI. Recurrent erythema nodosum associated with Echinacea herbal therapy. J Am  
585 Acad Dermatol 2001; 44:298-299.
- 586 63. Taylor JA, Weber W, Standish L, Quinn H, Goesling J, McGann M, Calabrese C. Efficacy and safety of  
587 Echinacea in treating upper respiratory tract infections in children. JAMA 2003; 290:2824-2830.
- 588 64. Gorski JC, Huang S, Pinto A, Hamman MA, Hilligos JK, Zaheer MS, Desai M, Miller M, Hall SD. The  
589 effect of Echinacea (*Echinacea purpurea* root) on cytochrome P450 activity in vivo. Clin Pharmacol  
590 Ther 2004; 75:89-100.
- 591 65. Gurley BJ, Gardner SF, Hubbard MA, Williams DK, Gentry WB, Carrier J, Khan IA, Edwards DJ, Shah  
592 A. In vivo assessment of botanical supplementation on human cytochrome P450 phenotypes: *Citrus*  
593 *aurantium*, *Echinacea purpurea*, milk thistle, and saw palmetto. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2004; 76: 428-440.
- 594 66. Yagmur E, Piatkowski A, Gröger A, Pallua N, Gressner AM, Kiefer P. Bleeding complication under  
595 *Ginkgo biloba* medication. Am J Hematol 2005; 79:343-344.
- 596 67. MacVie OP, Harney BA. Vitreous haemorrhage associated with *Ginkgo biloba* use in a patient with age  
597 related macular disease. Br J Ophthalmol 2005; 89:1378-1379.
- 598 68. Pedroso JL, Henriques Aquino CC, Escorcio Bezerra ML, Baiense RF, Suarez MM, Dutra LA, Braga-  
599 Neto P, Povoas Barsottini OG. *Ginkgo biloba* and cerebral bleeding: a case report and critical review.  
600 Neurologist 2011; 17: 89-90.
- 601 69. Miller LG, Freeman B. Possible subdural hematoma associated with *Ginkgo biloba*. J Herbal  
602 Pharmacother 2002; 2:57-63.
- 603 70. Xia S-h, Fang D-c. Pharmacological action and mechanisms of ginkgolide B. Chin Med J 2007; 120:922-  
604 928.
- 605 71. Pennisi RS. Acute generalized exanthematous pustulosis induced by the herbal remedy *Ginkgo*  
606 *biloba*. Med J Aust 2006; 184:583-584.

- 607 72. Yuste M, Sánchez-Estella J, Santos JC, Teresa-Alonso M, Teresa Bordel M, Gutiérrez JL, Zamora T.  
608 Síndrome de Stevens-Johnson/necrosis epidérmica tóxica tratado com imunoglobulinas intravenosas.  
609 Actas Dermosifiliogr 2005; 96:589-592.
- 610 73. Cianfrocca C, Pelliccia F, Auruti A, Santini M. *Ginkgo biloba*-induced frequent ventricular arrhythmia.  
611 Ital Heart J 2002; 3:689-691.
- 612 74. Miwa H, Iijima M, Tanaka S, Yoshikuni M. Generalized convulsion after consuming a large amount of  
613 Ginkgo nuts. Epilepsia 2001; 42:280-281.
- 614 75. Bebbington A, Kulkarni R, Roberts P. *Ginkgo biloba*: persistent bleeding after total hip arthroplasty  
615 caused by herbal self-medication. J Arthroplasty 2005; 20:125-126.
- 616 76. Castellote Varona FJ, Atienza Morales MP. *Ginkgo biloba* and cerebral hemorrhage. An Med Interna  
617 2005; 22:199.
- 618 77. Meisel C, Johne A, Roots I. Fatal intracerebral mass bleeding associated with *Ginkgo biloba* and  
619 ibuprofen. Atherosclerosis 2003; 167:367.
- 620 78. Glintborg B, Andersen SE, Dalhoff K. Drug-drug interactions among recently hospitalised patients-  
621 frequent but mostly clinically insignificant. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2005; 61:675-681.
- 622 79. Kupiec T, Raj V. Fatal seizure due to potential herb-drug interactions with *Ginkgo biloba*. J Anal Toxicol  
623 2005; 29:755-758.
- 624 80. Bell DSH, Ovalle F. Use of soy protein supplement and resultant need for increased dose of  
625 levothyroxine. Endocr Pract 2001; 7:193-194.
- 626 81. Crawford BA, Cowell CT, Emden PJ, Learoyd DL, Chua EL, Sinn J, Jack MM. Iodine toxicity from soy  
627 milk and seaweed ingestion is associated with serious thyroid dysfunction. Med J Aust 2010; 193:413-  
628 415.
- 629 82. Balk E, Chung M, Chew P, Ip S, Raman G, Kupelnick B, Tatsioni A, Sun Y, Wolk B, DeVine D, Lau J.  
630 Effects of soy on health outcomes. Evid Rep Technol Assess (Summ.) 2005; 126:1-8.
- 631 83. Aaronov D, Tasher D, Levine A, Somekh E, Serour F, Dalal I. Natural history of food allergy in infants  
632 and children in Israel. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol 2008; 101:637-640.
- 633 84. Rozenfeld P, Docena GH, Anon MC, Fossati CA. Detection and identification of a soy protein coponent  
634 that cross-reacts with caseins from cow's milk. Clin Exp Immunol 2002; 130:49-58.
- 635 85. Kwack SJ, Kim KB, Kim HS, Yoon KS, Lee BM. Risk assessment of soybean-based phytoestrogens. J  
636 Toxicol Environ Health A 2009; 72:1254-1261.

- 637 86. Ricketts ML, Moore DD, Banz WJ, Mezei O, Shay NF. Molecular mechanisms of action of the soy  
638 isoflavones includes activation of promiscuous nuclear receptors. A review. *J Nutr Biochem* 2005;  
639 16:321-330.
- 640 87. Dinsdale EC, Ward WE. Early exposure to soy isoflavones and effects on reproductive health: a review  
641 of human and animal studies. *Nutrients* 2010; 2:1156-1187.
- 642 88. Nagata C, Nakamura K, Oba S, Hayashi M, Takeda N, Yasuda K. Association of intakes of fat, dietary  
643 fibre, soya isoflavones and alcohol with uterine fibroids in Japanese women. *Br J Nutr* 2009; 101:1427-  
644 1431.
- 645 89. Noel JC, Anaf V, Fayt I, Wespes E. Ureteral mullerian carcinosarcoma (mixed mullerian tumor)  
646 associated with endometriosis occurring in a patient with a concentrated soy isoflavones  
647 supplementation. *Arch Gynecol Obstet* 2006; 274:389-392.
- 648 90. Martinez J, Lewi JE. An unusual case of gynecomastia associated with soy product consumption. *Endocr*  
649 *Pract* 2008; 14:415-418.
- 650 91. Siepmann T, Roofeh J, Kiefer FW, Edelson DG. Hypogonadism and erectile dysfunction associated with  
651 soy product consumption. *Nutrition* 2011; 27:859-862.
- 652 92. Chaabane M, Bidat E, Chevallier B. A new case of food protein-induced enterocolitis syndrome. *Arch*  
653 *Pediatr* 2010; 17:502-506.
- 654 93. Nelson HD, Vesco KK, Haney E, Fu R, Nedrow A, Miller J, Nicolaidis C, Walker M, Humphrey L.  
655 Nonhormonal therapies for menopausal hot flashes: systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA* 2006;  
656 295:2057-2071.
- 657 94. Nan HM, Park JW, Song YJ, Yun HY, Park JS, Hyun T, Youn SJ, Kim YD, Kang JW, Kim H. Kimchi  
658 and soybean pastes are risk factors of gastric cancer. *World J Gastroenterol* 2005; 11:3175-3181.
- 659 95. Wiwanitkit V. Excessive consumption of soybean milk and unexplained hepatitis. *J Postgrad Med* 2012;  
660 58:226-227.
- 661 96. O'Connell R, Parkin L, Manning P, Bell D, Herbison P, Holmes J. A cluster of thyrotoxicosis associated  
662 with consumption of a soy milk product. *Aust N Z J Public Health* 2005; 29:511-512.
- 663 97. Sun CL, Yuan JM, Arakawa K, Low SH, Lee HP, Yu MC. Dietary soy and increased risk of bladder  
664 cancer: the Singapore Chinese Health Study. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2002; 11:1674-1677.
- 665 98. Shenai JP, Jhaveri BM, Reynolds JW, Huston RK, Babson SG. Nutritional balance studies in very low-  
666 birth-weight infants: role of soy formula. *Pediatrics* 1981; 67:631-637.



- 667 99. Furukawa S, Takaya A, Nakagawa T, Sakaguchi I, Nishi K. Fatal hypernatremia due to drinking a large  
668 quantity of shoyu (Japanese soy sauce). *J Forensic Leg Med* 2011; 18:91-92.
- 669 100. Linshaw MA, Harrison HL, Gruskin AB, Prebis J, Harris J, Stein R, Jayaram MR, Preston D, DiLiberti J,  
670 Baluarte HJ, Elzouki A, Carroll N. Hypochloremic alkalosis in infants associated with soy protein  
671 formula. *J Pediatr* 1980; 96:635-640.
- 672 101. Murray KF, Christie DL. Dietary protein intolerance in infants with transient methemoglobinemia and  
673 diarrhea. *J Pediatr* 1993; 122:90-92.
- 674 102. Leitolf H, Dixit KCS, Higham CE, Brabant G. Licorice - or more? *Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes* 2010;  
675 118:250-253.
- 676 103. Pant P, Nadimpalli L, Singh M, Cheng JC. A case of severe hypokalemic paralysis and hypertension.  
677 Licorice-induced hypokalemic paralysis. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2010; 55:A35-37.
- 678 104. Sundaram MB, Swaminathan R. Total body potassium depletion and severe myopathy due to chronic  
679 liquorice ingestion. *Postgrad Med J* 1981; 57:48-49.
- 680 105. Hukkanen J, Ukkola O, Savolainen MJ. Effects of low-dose liquorice alone or in combination with  
681 hydrochlorothiazide on the plasma potassium in healthy volunteers. *Blood Press* 2009; 18:192-195.
- 682 106. Lunow M, Adam B, Seidel G. Pseudo-Conn's syndrome with hypokalemic paralysis due to diuretics and  
683 licorice abuse. *Fortschr Neurol Psychiatr* 2011; 79:46-50.
- 684 107. Francini-Pesenti F, Puato M, Piccoli A, Brocadello F. Liquorice-induced hypokalaemia and water  
685 retention in the absence of hypertension. *Phytother Res* 2008; 22:563-565.
- 686 108. Kinoshita H, Okabayashi M, Kaneko M, Yasuda M, Abe K, Machida A, Ohkubo T, Kamata T, Yakushiji  
687 F. Shakuyaku-kanzo-to induces pseudoaldosteronism characterized by hypokalemia, rhabdomyolysis,  
688 metabolic alkalosis with respiratory compensation, and increased urinary cortisol levels. *J Altern  
689 Complement Med* 2009; 15:439-443.
- 690 109. Mukherjee T, Bhatt K, Sirsat R. A young female with quadriplegia. *J Assoc Physicians India* 2006;  
691 54:400-402.
- 692 110. Russo S, Mastropasqua M, Mosetti MA, Persegani C, Paggi A. Low doses of liquorice can induce  
693 hypertension encephalopathy. *Am J Nephrol* 2000; 20:145-148.
- 694 111. Crean AM, Abdel-Rahman SE, Greenwood JP. A sweet tooth as the root cause of cardiac arrest. *Can J  
695 Cardiol* 2009; 25:e357-e358.

- 696 112. Armanini D, Lewicka S, Pratesi C, Scali M, Zennaro MC, Zovato S, Gottardo C, Simoncini M, Spigariol  
697 A, Zampolio V. Further studies on the mechanism of the mineralocorticoid action of licorice in humans.  
698 J Endocrinol Invest 1996; 19:624-629.
- 699 113. Gagnier JJ, van Tulder MW, Berman B, Bombardier C. Herbal medicine for low back pain: a Cochrane  
700 review. Spine 2007; 32:82-92.
- 701 114. Grahame R, Robinson BV. Devils's claw (*Harpagophytum procumbens*): pharmacological and clinical  
702 studies. Ann Rheum Dis 1981; 40:632.
- 703 115. Karalapillai DC, Bellomo R. Convulsions associated with an overdose of St John's wort. Med J Aust  
704 2007; 186:213-214.
- 705 116. Nierenberg AA, Burt T, Matthews J, Weiss AP. Mania associated with St. John's wort. Biol Psychiatry  
706 1999; 46:1707-1708.
- 707 117. Patel S, Robinson R, Burk M. Hypertensive crisis associated with St. John's Wort. Am J Med 2002;  
708 112:507-508.
- 709 118. Zullino D, Borgeat F. Hypertension induced by St. John's Wort - a case report. Pharmacopsychiatry  
710 2003; 36:32.
- 711 119. Bhopal JS. St John's wort-induced sexual dysfunction. Can J Psychiatry 2001; 46:456-457.
- 712 120. Brown TM. Acute St. John's wort toxicity. Am J Emerg Med 2000; 18:231-232.
- 713 121. Domínguez Jiménez JL, Pleguezuelo Navarro M, Guiote Malpartida S, Fraga Rivas E, Montero Alvarez  
714 JL, Poyato González A. [Hepatotoxicity associated with *Hypericum* (St. John's wort)]. Gastroenterol  
715 Hepatol 2007; 30:54-55.
- 716 122. Roby CA, Anderson GD, Kantor E, Dryer DA, Burstei AH,. Pharmacokinetics and drug disposition. St  
717 John's Wort: Effect on CYP3A4 activity. Clin Pharmacol Therap 2000; 67:451-457.
- 718 123. Tannergren C, Engman H, Knutson L, Hedeland M, Bondesson U, Lennernäs H. St John's wort decreases  
719 the bioavailability of R- and S-verapamil through induction of the first-pass metabolism. Clin Pharmacol  
720 Ther 2004; 75:298-309.
- 721 124. Borrelli F, Izzo AA. Herb-drug interactions with St John's wort (*Hypericum perforatum*): an update on  
722 clinical observations. AAPS J 2009; 11:710-727.
- 723 125. Schwarz UI, Hanso H, Oertel R, Miehke S, Kuhlisch E, Glaeser H, Hitzl M, Dresser GK, Kim RB,  
724 Kirch W. Induction of intestinal P-glycoprotein by St John's wort reduces the oral bioavailability of  
725 talinolol. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2007; 81:669-678.

126. Hu Z, Yang X, Ho PC, Chan SY, Heng PW, Chan E, Duan W, Koh HL, Zhou S. Herb-drug interactions: a literature review. *Drugs* 2005; 65:1239-1282.
127. De Maat MM, Hoetelmans RM, Math t RA, van Gorp EC, Meenhorst PL, Mulder JW, Beijnen JH. Drug interaction between St John's wort and nevirapine. *AIDS* 2001; 15:420-421.
128. Khawaja IS, Marotta RF, Lippmann S. Herbal medicines as a factor in delirium. *Psychiatr Serv* 1999, 50:969-970.
129. Irefin S, Sprung J. A possible cause of cardiovascular collapse during anesthesia: long-term use of St. John's Wort. *J Clin Anesth* 2000; 12:498-499.
130. Gonzalez-Seijo JC, Ramos YM, Lastra I. Manic episode and ginseng: report of a possible case. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* 1995; 15:447-448.
131. Palop-Larrea V, Gonzalez-Perales JL, Catalan-Oliver C, Belenguer-Varea A, Martinez-Mir I. Metrorrhagia and ginseng. *Ann Pharmacother* 2000; 34:1347-1348.
132. Wiwanitkit V, Taungjaruwina W. A case report of suspected ginseng allergy. *Med Gen Med* 2004; 6:9.
133. Janetzky K, Morreale A. Probably interaction between warfarin and ginseng. *Am J Health Sys Pharm* 1997; 54:692-693.
134. Jones BD, Runikis AM. Interactions of Ginseng with phenelzine. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* 1987; 7:201-202.
135. Vasquez I, Aguera-Ortiz LF. Herbal products and serious side effects: a case of ginseng-induced manic episode. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 2002; 105:76-77.
136. Bilgi N, Bell K, Ananthakrishnan AN, Atallah E. Imatinib and *Panax ginseng*: a potential interaction resulting in liver toxicity. *Ann Pharmacother* 2010; 44:926-928.
137. Cohen DL, Del Toro Y. A case of valeriane-associated hepatotoxicity. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 2008; 42:961-962.
138. Bagheri H, Broué P, Lacroix I, Larrey D, Olives JP, Vaysse Ph, Ghisolfi J, Montastruc JL. Fulminant hepatic failure after herbal medicine ingestion in children. *Thérapie* 1998; 53:77-83.
139. Cuzzolin L, Benoni G. Attitudes and knowledge toward natural products safety in the pharmacy setting: an Italian study. *Phytother Res* 2009; 23:1018-1023.
140. Carrasco MS, Vallejo JR, Pardo-de-Santayana M, Peral D, Martín MA, Altimiras J. Interactions of *Valeriana officinalis* L. and *Passiflora incarnata* L. in a patient treated with Lorazepam. *Phytother Res* 2009; 23:1795-1796.

- 756 141. Chen D, Klesmer J, Giovanniello A, Katz J. Mental status changes in an alcohol abuser taking valerian  
757 and *Ginkgo biloba*. Am J Addict 2002; 11:75-77.
- 758 142. Whiting PW, Clouston A, Kerlin P. Black cohosh and other herbal remedies associated with acute  
759 hepatitis. Med J Aust 2002; 177:440-443.
- 760 143. Dittmar FW, Bohnert KJ, Peeters M, Albrecht M, Lamertz M, Schmidt U. Pramenstruelles Syndrom,  
761 Behandlung mit einem Phytopharmakon. TW Gynakologie 1992; 5:60-68.
- 762 144. Prilepskaya VN, Ledina AV, Tagiyeva AV, Revazova FS. *Vitex agnus castus*: Successful treatment of  
763 moderate to severe premenstrual syndrome. Maturitas 2006; 55:S55-63.
- 764 145. Propping D, Bohnert KJ, Peeters M, Albrecht M, Lamertz M. *Vitex agnus castus*. Behandlung  
765 gynakologischer Krankheitsbilder. Therapeutikon 1991; 5:581-585.
- 766 146. Loch EG, Selle H, Boblitz N. Treatment of premenstrual syndrome with a phytopharmaceutical  
767 formulation containing *Vitex agnus castus*. J Womens Health Gend Based Med 2000; 9:315-320.
- 768 147. Daniele C, Thompson Coon J, Pittler MH, Ernst E. *Vitex agnus castus*: a systematic review of adverse  
769 events. Drug Saf 2005; 28:319-332.
- 770 148. Romano C, Ferrara A. Food allergy induced by grapes. Allergy 1998; 53:93.
- 771 149. Kalogeromitros DC, Makris MP, Gregoriou SG, Mousatou VG, Lyris NG, Tarassi KE, Papasteriades CA.  
772 Grape anaphylaxis: a study of 11 adult onset cases. Allergy Asthma Proc 2005; 26:53-58.
- 773 150. Senna G, Mistrello G, Roncarolo D, Crivellaro M, Bonadonna P, Schiappoli M, Passalacqua G. Exercise-  
774 induced anaphylaxis to grape. Allergy 2001; 56:1235-1236.

Table 1 - Plants included in the review

<i>Abies alba</i> Mill.	<i>Cynara scolymus</i> L.	<i>Ocimum basilicum</i> L.
<i>Aesculus hippocastanum</i> L.	<i>Echinacea pallida</i> Nutt.	<i>Olea europaea</i> L.
<i>Aloe ferox</i> Mill.	<i>Echinacea purpurea</i> (L.) Moench	<i>Panax ginseng</i> C.A. Meyer
<i>Artemisia abrotanum</i> L.	<i>Epimedium brevicornum</i> Maxim/sagittatum	<i>Passiflora incarnata</i> L.
<i>Artemisia dracunculus</i> L.	<i>Eschscholzia californica</i> Cham.	<i>Pelargonium sidoides</i> DC
<i>Borago officinalis</i> L.	<i>Foeniculum vulgare</i> Mill.	<i>Peumus boldus</i> Molina
<i>Boswellia serrata</i> Roxb. ex Colebr.	<i>Ginkgo biloba</i> L.	<i>Pimpinella anisum</i> L.
<i>Calendula officinalis</i> L.	<i>Glycine max</i> (L.) Merr.	<i>Plantago lanceolata</i> L.
<i>Camellia sinensis</i> (L.) Kuntze	<i>Glycyrrhiza glabra</i> L.	<i>Plantago ovata</i> Forssk
<i>Carica papaya</i> L.	<i>Grindelia robusta</i> Nutt.	<i>Pseudowintera colorata</i> (Raoul) Dandy
<i>Carum carvi</i> L.	<i>Harpagophytum procumbens</i> (Burch.) DC	<i>Rhamnus purshiana</i> DC
<i>Cassia angustifolia</i> Vahl/ <i>Cassia senna</i> L.	<i>Helichrysum italicum</i> (Roth) Don	<i>Salvia hispanica/columariae</i> L.
<i>Cassia obtusifolia</i> L./ <i>Cassia tora</i> L	<i>Heliotropium</i> spp.	<i>Serenoa repens</i> (W Baltram) Small.
<i>Chrysanthemum balsamita</i> (L) Baill	<i>Hibiscus sabdariffa</i> L.	<i>Serenoa serrulata</i> Hook f.
<i>Cichorium intybus</i> L.	<i>Hippophae rhamnoides</i> L.	<i>Silybum marianum</i> (L.) Gaertn.
<i>Cimicifuga racemosa</i> (L.) Nutt.	<i>Humulus lupulus</i> L.	<i>Taraxacum officinale</i> Wiggers
<i>Cinnamomum verum</i> J. Presl ( <i>Cinnamomum zeylanicum</i> )	<i>Hypericum perforatum</i> L.	<i>Thymus serpyllum</i> L.
<i>Citrus aurantium</i> L.	<i>Lavandula angustifolia</i> Mill.	<i>Trifolium pratense</i> L.
<i>Citrus limon</i> (L) Burm.	<i>Lycium barbarum</i> L.	<i>Vaccinium myrtillus</i> L.
<i>Citrus sinensis</i> L.	<i>Matricaria recutita</i> L.	<i>Valeriana officinalis</i> L.
<i>Crataegus monogyna</i> Jacq.	<i>Melissa officinalis</i> L.	<i>Vitex agnus castus</i> L.
<i>Cuminum cyminum</i> L.	<i>Myrtus communis</i> L.	<i>Vitis vinifera</i> L.

Table 2 - Causality categories according to WHO [7]

Causality classification	Details
Certain	a clinical event, including laboratory test abnormality, occurring in a plausible time relationship to drug administration, and which cannot be explained by concurrent disease or other drugs or chemicals. The response to withdrawal of the drugs (dechallenge) should be clinically plausible. The event must be definitive pharmacologically or phenomenologically, using a satisfactory rechallenge procedure if necessary
Probably/Likely	a clinical event, including laboratory test abnormality, with a reasonable time sequence to administration of the drug, unlikely to be attributed to concurrent disease or other drugs or chemicals, and which follows a clinically reasonable response on withdrawal (dechallenge). Rechallenge information is not required to fulfil this definition
Possible	a clinical event, including laboratory test abnormality, with a reasonable time sequence to administrations of the drug, but which could also be explained by concurrent disease or other drugs or chemicals. Information on drug withdrawal may be lacking or unclear
Unlikely	a clinical event, including laboratory test abnormality, with a temporal relationship to drug administration which makes a causal relationship improbable, and in which other drugs, chemicals or underlying disease provide plausible explanations
Conditional/ Unclassified	a clinical event, including laboratory test abnormality, reported as an adverse reaction, about which more data is essential for a proper assessment, or the additional data is under examination
Unassessable/ unclassifiable	a report suggesting an adverse reaction which cannot be judged because information is insufficient or contradictory, and which cannot be supplemented or verified

Table 3 - Number of scientific papers describing adverse effects to botanicals/PFSs, including misidentification and interaction with nutrient or conventional drugs

<b>Plant by scientific name (common name)</b>	<b>Number of references to adverse effects as such</b>	<b>Number of references to misidentification</b>	<b>Number of references to interactions</b>	<b>Total references</b>
<i>Glycine max</i> (L.) Merr (soybean)	91	0	4	95
<i>Glycyrrhiza glabra</i> L. (licorice)	51	0	9	60
<i>Camellia sinensis</i> (L.) Kuntze (tea)	34	0	9	43
<i>Ginkgo biloba</i> L. (ginkgo/Maideinhair tree))	28	0	14	42
<i>Citrus aurantium</i> L. (bitter orange)	7	0	18	25
<i>Cinnamomum verum</i> J.Prest ( <i>C. zeylanicum</i> ) (cinnamon)	23	0	0	23
<i>Cimicifuga racemosa</i> (L.) Nutt (black cohosh)	19	0	4	23
<i>Echinacea purpurea</i> (L.) Moench (Eastern purple coneflower)	18	0	2	20
<i>Vitex agnus castus</i> L. (vitex/chaste tree)	18	0	1	19
<i>Hypericum perforatum</i> L. (St John's wort)	10	0	9	19
<i>Panax ginseng</i> C.A. Meyer (ginseng)	11	0	5	16
<i>Valeriana officinalis</i> L. (valerian)	6	0	8	14
<i>Vitis vinifera</i> L. (grape)	14	0	0	14
<i>Harpagophytum procumbens</i> (Burch) DC (Devil's claw)	13	0	0	13
<i>Boswellia serrata</i> Roxb (Indian frankincense)	9	0	0	9
<i>Serenoa repens</i> (W Baltram) Small (saw palmetto)	6	0	0	6
<i>Citrus sinensis</i> (L.) Osbeck (sweet orange)	5	0	0	5
<i>Taraxacum officinale</i> Weber (dandelion)	5	0	0	5
<i>Aesculus hippocastanum</i> L. (horse chestnut)	2	0	2	4
<i>Cassia angustifolia</i> Mill/ <i>Cassia senna</i> L. (senna)	4	0	0	4

<i>Aloe ferox</i> Mill. (bitter aloe)	3	0	0	3
<i>Melissa officinalis</i> L. (lemon balm)	3	0	0	3
<i>Passiflora incarnata</i> L. (Passion flower)	1	1	1	3
<i>Peumus boldus</i> Molina (boldo)	1	0	2	3
<i>Cassia obtusifolia</i> L./ <i>Cassia tora</i> L. (sickle senna/Java bean)	2	0	0	2
<i>Foeniculum vulgare</i> Mill (fennel)	2	0	0	2
<i>Matricaria recutita</i> L. (chamomile)	1	0	1	2
<i>Ocimum basilicum</i> L. (sweet basil)	2	0	0	2
<i>Olea europea</i> L. (olive)	2	0	0	2
<i>Silybum marianum</i> (L.) Gaertn (milk thistle)	2	0	0	2
<i>Borago officinalis</i> L. (Borage)	1	0	0	1
<i>Crataegus monogyna</i> Jacq. (hawthorn)	1	0	0	1
<i>Cynara scolymus</i> L. (globe artichoke)	1	0	0	1
<i>Echinacea pallida</i> Nutt (pale purple coneflower)	1	0	0	1
<i>Pelargonium sidoides</i> DC (Umckaloab)	1	0	0	1
<i>Pimpinella anisum</i> L. (anise)	1	0	0	1
<i>Plantago lanceolata</i> L. (ribwort plantain)	1	0	0	1
<i>Rhamnus purshiana</i> DC (cascara sagrada)	1	0	0	1
<i>Trifolium pratense</i> L. (red clover)	1	0	0	1
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>402</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>492</b>



Table 4 - Number of papers describing specific adverse effects to the botanicals considered and their ranking by causality\*

<i>Plant by scientific name (common name)</i>	<b>Total number of papers describing side effects</b>	<b>Papers reporting certain/probable association</b>	<b>Papers reporting possible association</b>	<b>Papers showing unlikely/unassessable association</b>
<i>Glycine max</i> (L.) Merr (soybean)	91	58	11	22
<i>Glycyrrhiza glabra</i> L. (licorice)	51	38	11	2
<i>Camellia sinensis</i> (L.) Kuntze (tea)	34	15	14	5
<i>Ginkgo biloba</i> L. (ginkgo/Maideinhair tree)	28	19	4	5
<i>Cinnamomum verum</i> J Presl (zeylanicum) (cinnamon)	23	17	2	4
<i>Vitex agnus castus</i> L. (vitex/chaste tree)	18	13	1	4
<i>Echinacea purpurea</i> (L.) Moench (Eastern purple coneflower)	18	8	0	10
<i>Cimicifuga racemosa</i> (L.) Nutt (black cohosh)	19	14	5	0
<i>Vitis vinifera</i> L. (grape)	14	14	0	0
<i>Harpagophytum procumbens</i> DC (Devil's claw)	13	13	0	0
<i>Hypericum perforatum</i> L. (St John's wort)	10	4	6	0
<i>Panax ginseng</i> C.A. Meyer (ginseng)	11	1	6	4
<i>Citrus aurantium</i> L. (bitter orange)	7	5	0	2
<i>Valeriana officinalis</i> L. (valerian)	6	1	2	3
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>343</b>	<b>220</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>61</b>

\*Because of the high number of citations, the whole list of papers is organized for plant and causality in the Online Supplementary Data

Table 5 - Number of papers reporting interactions between the botanicals considered and nutrients, food or conventional drugs with ranking by causality\*

<i>Plant by scientific name (common name)</i>	<b>Total number of papers describing interactions</b>	<b>Papers reporting certain/probable association</b>	<b>Papers reporting possible association</b>	<b>Papers showing unlikely/unassessable association</b>
<i>Citrus aurantium</i> L. (bitter orange)	18	6	11	1
<i>Ginkgo biloba</i> L. (ginkgo/Maideinhair tree)	14	7	3	4
<i>Glycyrrhiza glabra</i> L. (licorice)	9	6	2	1
<i>Camellia sinensis</i> (L.) Kuntze (tea)	9	3	6	0
<i>Hypericum perforatum</i> L. (St John's wort)	9	6	3	0
<i>Valeriana officinalis</i> L. (valerian)	8	0	4	4
<i>Glycine max</i> (L.) Merr (soybean)	4	1	2	1
<i>Cimicifuga racemosa</i> (L.) Nutt (black cohosh)	4	0	4	0
<i>Panax ginseng</i> C.A. Meyer (ginseng)	5	1	4	0
<i>Echinacea purpurea</i> (L.) Moench (Eastern purple coneflower)	2	1	1	0
<i>Vitex agnus castus</i> L. (vitex/chaste tree)	1	0	0	1
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>12</b>

\*Because of the high number of citations, the whole list of papers is organized for plant and causality in the Online Supplementary Data

Table 6 - Form used by consumers experiencing adverse effects

<b>Plant by scientific name (common name)</b>	<b>Botanical part used (when specified)</b>	<b>Food and beverages (functional, flavoured etc.)</b>	<b>PFS (type)</b>	<b>Other</b>
<i>Camellia sinensis</i> (L.) Kuntze (tea)	Leaves	Tea (high quantity)	Capsules containing micronized leaf powder or different extracts	Acqueous, ethanolic, hydroalcoholic extracts
<i>Cimicifuga racemosa</i> (L.) Nutt (black cohosh)	Rizhoma	-	Capsules containing 6 plants including <i>C. racemosa</i>	Standardized unspecified extract
<i>Cinnamomum verum</i> J Presl ( <i>zeylanicum</i> ) (cinnamon)	Bark	Flavoured candies and foods; sweet vermouth and coffee	PFS (containing oil)	Oil, chewing-gum, toothpaste, mounthrinse
<i>Citrus aurantium</i> L. (bitter orange)	Ripe and unripe fruit Fruit rind	-	-	Unspecified extracts, decoction
<i>Echinacea purpurea</i> (L.) Moench (Eastern purple coneflower)	Root or coneflower	Juice	Juice combined with other ingredients	Hydroalcoholic, acquous or unspecified extracts
<i>Ginkgo biloba</i> L. (ginkgo/Maideinhair tree)	Leaves, seeds	Roasted ginkgo seeds, microwave cooked seeds	PFS containing extracts	Extracts, ginkgolide mixtures
<i>Glycine max</i> (L.) Merr (soybean)	Seeds	Soybean protein based formula, soybean "milk", Miso (fermented soybean), Tofu, Baloney (sausage)	Supplements containing soybean isoflavones	Lecithins, soybean protein concentrates, soybean granules, soybean flour
<i>Glycyrrhiza glabra</i> L. (licorice)	Root	Licorice rope and candies, juices, drinks, Pontefract cake	PFS tablets, "herbal tonic"	Chewing-gum, decoction, concentrated juice
<i>Harpagophytum procumbens</i> DC (Devil's claw)	Tuber, root tuber, secondary tuber, whole plant	-	Capsules containing extract from whole plant	Acqueous extract, ethanol extract, powder from root or secondary tubers

<i>Hypericum perforatum</i> L. (St John's wort)	Flowering herb	-	Tablets, unspecified preparations, including an extract enriched in hyperforin	Unspecified extracts
<i>Panax ginseng</i> C.A. Meyer (ginseng)	Root	Candies and teas	Ginseng syrup	Dry root, extracts (from standardized to unspecified), chewing-gum
<i>Valeriana officinalis</i> L. (valerian)	Root	-	Infusions	Raw root material
<i>Vitex agnus castus</i> L. (vitex/chaste tree)	Fruit	-	-	Ethanollic/acquous extracts
<i>Vitis vinifera</i> L. (grape)	Fruit and leaves	Fresh and dry fruit, Juices	-	Acquous extract, hydroalcoholic extract; unspecified skin extract